arXiv:1612.03774v2 [math.NT] 17 Dec 2016

ROOT SETS OF POLYNOMIALS AND POWER SERIES WITH FINITE CHOICES OF COEFFICIENTS

HAN YU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the root set of power series with finite choices of coefficients: $\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \exists a_n \in H, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = 0\}$ where $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a fixed finite subset. For $H = \{\pm 1\}$, the series is known as the Littlewood series and has been studied in detail. Here we study the case when $H = \{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}k}, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ is the set of all roots of unity with prime order. We show that the correponding root set is dense in the annulus $\{z | l_p < |z| \leq 1\}$ where $l_p \to \frac{1}{2}$ for $p \to \infty$. A corollary of this result is that for large p, the root set of polynomials with coefficients in H is dense in $\{z | l_p < |z| \leq \frac{1}{l_q}\}$ where $l_p \to \frac{1}{2}$ for $p \to \infty$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Content of this paper 2
3. Preliminaries 3
4. Some discussions for $H = \{\pm 1\}, \{0, \pm 1\}$ 6
4.1. The annulus $\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^4 \leq 2\}$ and $\overline{R(\{\pm 1\})}$ 6
4.2. The annulus $\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^2 \leq 2\}$ and $\overline{R(\{0, \pm 1\})}$ 7
5. Generalization to other finite sets $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ 7
6. Some new results on root set of the (rationally) unimodular polynomials and Littlewood polynomials associated with roots of unity of finite order 9
6.1. Some general topological considerations 10
6.2. Some topological results for the attractor A_{β^2} 11
6.3. The proof of theorem 2.2 and corollary 2.3 17
7. Acknowledgements 17
References 17

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the root set of power series with restricted coefficients, namely:

Definition 1.1. *For a subset $H \subset \mathbb{C}$, we say that a power series $P(z)$ is a H-series if:*

$$P(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, a_n \in H.$$

In this paper elements in coefficient set H have bounded norm:

$$\sup_{z \in H} |z| < \infty.$$

Then we see that the above condition ensures that the power series $P(z)$ defines a holomorphic function in the unit disc:

$$D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | |z| < 1\},$$

so it makes sense to talk about the roots of power series $P(z)$:

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} | P(z) = 0\},$$

and we make the following definitions:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 30B30 Secondary: 30C15, 11C08.

Key words and phrases. Root set, Littlewood polynomial, Unimodular polynomial, Polynomial with finite coefficients.

Definition 1.2. *For a subset $H \subset \mathbb{C}$, we say that the root set $R(H)$ of polynomials with coefficients in H is:*

$$R(H) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \exists k > 0, \exists a_n \in H, n = 0, 1, 2, ..., k, \sum_{n=0}^{k} a_n z^n = 0\}.$$

Definition 1.3. *For a subset $H \subset \mathbb{C}$, we say that the root set $\tilde{R}(H)$ of power series with coefficients in H:*

$$\tilde{R}(H) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \exists a_n \in H, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = 0\}.$$

It turns out (see proof of theorem 5.1) that the closure of root sets of polynomials $R(H)$ and $\tilde{R}(H)$ satisfy the following relation:

$$\overline{R(H)} = \overline{\tilde{R}(H)} \cup \frac{1}{\overline{\tilde{R}(H)}},$$

where $\frac{1}{A}$ for a set A denotes the reciprocal set:

$$\frac{1}{A} = \{z | z^{-1} \in A\}.$$

For the cases $H = \{\pm 1\}$, $H = \{0, \pm 1\}$ the sets $\overline{R(H)}$ were studied in different points of view. The study of root set can be dated back to [OP93], [Bou93] and in [SS06] there is a discussion of multiple root set. For some quantitative study of the polynomials and bounds of some norm of polynomials and number of roots see [BEL08], [BBBP98], [J.E66].

It turns out that the set $\overline{R(H)}$ has a very close relation with some properties of the attractor of a certain IFS. Among those properties, the connectedness plays an important role. In fact the case for complex number is just a special case for linear transformations on Euclidean spaces and for those general cases see [SH15a], [SH16], [SH15b] as well as [PS98] for a comprehensive treatment and [Ban02] for some numerical results.

There are also results on the value sets of polynomial with restricted coefficients see for example [Sol95], [PS00], [SS11].

2. Content of this paper

In this paper we pose and give a partial answer of the following question:

Question 2.1. *For a finite subset $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ what can we see about the sets:*

$$\overline{R(H)}.$$

The above question is rather general, in this paper we consider some certain finite sets H which will be indicated below. The property we are interested in is the 'size' of $\overline{R(H)}$, to be more precise, we are interested in whether there is a annulus of a certain size contained in $\overline{R(H)}$. For $H = \{\pm 1\}, \{0, \pm 1\}$ there are already a lot of studies on the root set and we will state some of them for a motivation.

A natural question to ask is that if we choose the coefficient from a larger set $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ can we get significantly more roots in the sense that the root set $R(H)$ is dense in some large annulus. It is trivial that if $H_1 \subset H_2$ then $R(H_1) \subset R(H_2)$, so if an annulus $A \subset \overline{R(H_1)}$ then $A \subset \overline{R(H_2)}$. This result does not ensure that $\overline{R(H_2)}$ contains any larger annulus than A.

For the case that H can be written as a difference set of another finite set $V \subset \mathbb{C}$, namely:

$$H = \{v_1 - v_2 | v_1, v_2 \in V\},$$

we can directly obtain the following result (see section 5):

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{|V|} \leq |z|^2 \leq |V|\} \subset \overline{R(H)}.$$

So we see that if $|V|$ is large then the set $\overline{R(V - V)}$ contains large annulus.

For general case when H is not a difference set the situation is more subtle. In this paper we consider the case when H is the set of roots of unity of prime order or double prime order, clearly H can not be written as a difference set because $0 \notin H$. Then as p gets larger and larger,

we have more and more choices of coefficients, but is the root set $R(H)$ dense in a large annulus? We haven't found any references for this question so far and we will treat this problem and give a positive answer in section 6 by showing the following results:

Theorem 2.2. *For prime numbers $p \geq 5$, there is a sequence $\frac{1}{2} < b_p, c_p < 1$ such that $\lim_{p\to\infty} b_p = \frac{1}{2}$ and the root set $\tilde{R}(H_p)$ for H_p being the set of all roots of unity of order p satisfies:*

$$\{z|b_p \leq |z| \leq 1\} \subset \tilde{R}(H_p).$$

The above theorem captures the intuition that if we allow more and more choices of coefficients on unit circle the root set of corresponding series will eventually fill up the annulus :

$$\{z|\frac{1}{2} \leq |z| \leq 1\},$$

and this implies the following result for root set of polynomials:

Corollary 2.3. *For prime numbers $p \geq 5$, there is a sequence $\frac{1}{2} < b_p, c_p < 1$ such that $\lim_{p\to\infty} b_p = \frac{1}{2}$ and the root set $R(H_p)$ for H_p being the set of all roots of unity of order p satisfies:*

$$\{z|b_p \leq |z| \leq \frac{1}{b_p}\} \subset \overline{R(H_p)}.$$

Remark 2.4. *In fact we will see in section 6 that the annulus:*

$$\{z|\frac{1}{2} \leq |z| \leq 1\},$$

contain roots of any unimodular polynomials, and therefore the above result is optimal in this sense.

3. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some methods and results that are useful in dealing with root sets of polynomials with restricted coefficients. The following lemma gives us a close relation between root set of power series and root set of polynomials.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a finite subset, then $z \in \overline{R(H)}$ and $|z| < 1$ if and only if there exists a sequence $a_n \in H$ such that:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = 0,$$

namely:

$$|z| < 1, z \in \overline{R(H)} \iff z \in \tilde{R}(H).$$

Further we see that:

$$|z| < 1, z \in \overline{R(H)} \implies \frac{1}{z} \in \overline{R(H)}.$$

Proof. Because whenever we have a polynomial $P(z)$:

$$P(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{n=k} a_n z^n = 0,$$

we can construct another $z^k P(\frac{1}{z})$ where $k = \deg P$. So whenever $z \in R(H)$ we have also $\frac{1}{z} \in R(H)$. Then we can study the roots with modulus no greater than 1.

Without loss of generality we can assume that $H \subset \{z||z| \leq 1\}$. If $z \in \overline{R(H)}$ then we can find a sequence of complex numbers z_i with:

$$z_i \to z$$

in the sense of the standard topology of complex numbers. Now let us consider any polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x]$:

$$P(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} a_n x^n,$$

where k is an integer and $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$, then for any fixed positive number M such that $1 < M < \frac{1}{|z|}$ we have the following result for $z \neq 0$ and $|z_i| \leq M|z|$:

$$\begin{aligned} |P(z) - P(z_i)| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{k} |a_n||z^n - z_i^n| = \sum_{n=0}^{k} |a_n||z - z_i||z^{n-1} + z^{n-2}z_i + ... + z_i^{n-1}| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{k} |a_n||z - z_i|n(M|z|)^{n-1} \\ &\leq |z - z_i| \sum_{n=0}^{k} |a_n|n(M|z|)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Since $|a_n| \leq 1$, and we have $|z_i| < M|z|$ for all large enough i, in this case the sum with integer k can be uniformly bounded:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k} |a_n|n(M|z|)^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{k} n(M|z|)^{n-1} \leq C,$$

for some suitable constant $C > 0$. Now since z_i are roots of some polynomials with coefficients in H say $P_i(z_i) = 0$, then we have:

$$|P_i(z)| = |P_i(z) - P(z_i)| \leq C|z - z_i|.$$

Now we have a sequence of $P_i(x)$, then there must exist a infinite subsequence $P_{i_j}(x)$ of polynomials with all the same constant term because H is a finite set. We can then choose a subsequence $P_{i_{i_l}}(x)$ such that all those polynomials have the same coefficients of term x, the only case that this procedure can not go on infinitely is that there is a upper bound of degrees of P_i in which case it is easy to see that z must actually equal to one of the z_i. But if the procedure can go on infinitely, we can produce a sequence of polynomials P_i(for convenience we still index this sequence with i) with increasing degree and P_{i+1}, P_i will have the same coefficients of terms $x^l, l = 0, 1, ..., \deg P_i$, we can extract those coefficients as a sequence $a_n \in H$ such that for any positive integer k:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k} a_n|z|^n < C|z - z_i|,$$

with i a sequence of integer going to ∞, we have the following limit:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = 0.$$

And we have proved the first part of this Lemma.

Now if we have a sequence $a_n \in H$ such that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = 0.$$

Then this series is absolutely and uniformly convergent in $\{w \in \mathbb{C}||w| < r\}$ for any $0 < r < 1$, so our z in consideration is in one of such set. Then we see that the function:

$$P(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n w^n$$

is holomorphic inside the interior of the unit disc and therefore the roots w of $P(w) = 0$ must form a discrete set, now since z belongs to the root set we see that there is a ball $B(z, r)$ around z for some $r > 0$ such that:

$$\{w \in \mathbb{C}|P(w) = 0\} \cap \{B(z, r)\} = \{z\}.$$

Now suppose that $z \notin \overline{R(H)}$, then there is a ball $B(z, r') \subset B(z, r)$ such that

$$R(H) \cap B(z, r') = \emptyset.$$

We can then consider the following integral with $P_N(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n w^n$:

$$I_N = \int_{\partial B(z,r')} \frac{P_N'(w)}{P_N(w)} dw = 0,$$

because the functions P_N have no zero in $B(z,r')$ and since on the compact set $\partial B(z,r')$ the convergence of $P_N(w) \to P(w)$ is absolutely and uniformly we have:

$$0 = \lim_{N\to\infty} I_N = I = \int_{\partial B(z,r')} \frac{P'(w)}{P(w)} dw > 0,$$

the last inequality follows because the function $P(w)$ has one zero inside $B(z,r')$. This is impossible. So we see that $z \in \overline{R(H)}$ □

With this lemma we can consider the following functions $f_h : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, h \in H$ with an arbitrary complex number β whose modulus is in $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$:

$$f_h(z) = \beta z + h, h \in H.$$

We choose the symbol β because the method we will use is motivated from the β-expansion. We consider now the attractor of this IFS with functions $f_h, h \in H$.

Lemma 3.2. *There is a sequence $a_n \in H$ such that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \beta^n = w$$

if and only if w is in the attractor of the IFS defined by functions $f_h, h \in H$ defined by:

$$f_h(z) = \beta z + h.$$

Proof. It is not hard to see that the attractor A_β of the IFS:

$$f_h(z) = \beta z + h$$

can be written as:

$$A_\beta = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{a_0,a_1,...,a_n \in H} f_{a_0} \circ f_{a_1} \circ ... \circ f_{a_n}(B(0, \frac{1}{1-|\beta|})),$$

because the functions $f_h, h \in H$ send balls to balls we see that the above union for any integer n is an union of $|H|^{n+1}$ balls of radius $\frac{|\beta|^{n+1}}{1-|\beta|}$.

Now if there is a sequence $a_n \in H$ such that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \beta^n = w,$$

then we have for any integer $N > 0$:

$$|\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \beta^n - w| \leq \frac{|\beta^{N+1}|}{1-|\beta|},$$

so we see that:

$$w \in f_{a_0} \circ f_{a_1} \circ ... \circ f_{a_N}(B(0, \frac{1}{1-|\beta|})),$$

this implies that $w \in A_\beta$.

Now if $w \in A_\beta$, we that for any integer $N > 0$ there is a sequence $a_n \in H$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N$ such that:

$$w \in f_{a_0} \circ f_{a_1} \circ ... \circ f_{a_N}(B(0, \frac{1}{1-|\beta|})),$$

which implies that:

$$|\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \beta^n - w| \leq \frac{|\beta^{N+1}|}{1-|\beta|},$$

as in the proof of the previous lemma we can extract from here an infinite sequence $a_n \in H$ such that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \beta^n = w.$$

□

4. Some discussions for $H = \{\pm 1\}, \{0, \pm 1\}$

In this case the root sets $R(\{\pm 1\}), R(\{0, \pm 1\})$ was studied quite in details for example in [SS16] and the literatures mentioned in the previous section, and we know that the closure $\overline{R(\{\pm 1\})}, R(\{0, \pm 1\})$ are path connected and also

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^4 \leq 2\} \subset \overline{R(\{\pm 1\})},$$

and we also know that

$$\overline{R\{\pm 1\}} \cap \mathbb{R} = [-2, -\frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 2].$$

Notice that $R(\{\pm 1\}) \subset R(\{0, \pm 1\})$ so the above relation holds also for $R(\{0, \pm 1\})$, and indeed, we will prove here that the following stronger result holds for $R(\{0, \pm 1\})$:

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^2 \leq 2\} \subset \overline{R(\{0, \pm 1\})}.$$

The set $\overline{R(\{\pm 1\})}$ contains the annulus $\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^4 \leq 2\}$, however the intersection with real axis contains an interval which is strictly larger than $\{z \in \mathbb{R} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^4 \leq 2\}$, in fact we will show that this phenomena happens on other directions as well:

$$\overline{R(\{\pm 1\})} \cap e^{i\theta}\mathbb{R} \supsetneq \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \arg(z) = \theta, \frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^4 \leq 2\},$$

where $\theta \in \{0, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{2\pi}{3}\}$. For this reason we guess that the annulus $\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^4 \leq 2\}$ is not the largest possible annulus that is contained in $\overline{R(\{\pm 1\})}$.

4.1. The annulus $\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^4 \leq 2\}$ and $\overline{R(\{\pm 1\})}$.

Here we show that in some directions $\overline{R(\{\pm 1\})}$ contains large intervals, in fact we will show that:

Theorem 4.1.

$$\overline{R(\{\pm 1\})} \cap e^{i\frac{p}{q}\pi}\mathbb{R} \supset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \arg(z) = \theta, \frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^q \leq 2\},$$

where p, q are coprime integers.

Remark 4.2. *This implies that for $\theta \in \{0, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{2\pi}{3}\}$ we have:*

$$\overline{R(\{\pm 1\})} \cap e^{i\theta}\mathbb{R} \supsetneq \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \arg(z) = \theta, \frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^4 \leq 2\}.$$

Proof. We can apply lemma 3.1, lemma 3.2 after observing that when $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$ the point $z = 0$ lies inside the attractor of the IFS defined by:

$$f_{+1}(z) = \beta z + 1, f_{-1}(z) = \beta z - 1.$$

and when $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$, the attractor does not contain $z = 0$, so we have the following result:

$$\overline{R\{\pm 1\}} \cap \mathbb{R} = [-2, -\frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 2].$$

The rest conclusions of this theorem follows from the above result, in fact, if $\theta = \frac{p}{q}\pi$, we can observe that for $N = kq$ where N, k are positive integers we can construct the following Littlewood polynomial of degree $N - 1$:

$$P_N(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{jl} x^{(l-1)q+j},$$

then for any $x = \rho e^{i\frac{p}{q}\pi}$ where $\rho > 0$ we see that:

$$P_N(\rho e^{i\frac{p}{q}\pi}) = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{jl} \rho^{(l-1)q+j} e^{i\frac{(l-1)qp+jp}{q}\pi} = \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} e^{i\frac{jp}{q}\pi} \rho^j \sum_{l=1}^{k} e^{i(l-1)p\pi} a_{jl} \rho^{(l-1)q},$$

as $e^{i(l-1)p\pi} \in \{\pm 1\}$ we can choose a_{lj} such that the numbers $a_{lj}e^{i(l-1)p\pi} = a_l$ does not depend on j . Then if ρ^q is a root of one Littlewood polynomial with coefficients a_l then $\rho e^{i\frac{p}{q}\pi}$ is a root of the polynomial constructed as above, so whenever $|\rho|^q \in [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ we have $\rho e^{i\frac{p}{q}\pi} \in \overline{R(\{\pm 1\})}$. □

4.2. **The annulus $\{z \in \mathbb{C}|\frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^2 \leq 2\}$ and $\overline{R(\{0, \pm 1\})}$.** Here we will look at the larger set $\overline{R(\{0, \pm 1\})}$, lemma 3.1, lemma 3.2 can be adopted for this case to obtain the following result which we omit the proof:

Lemma 4.3. *The following statements are equivalent for $z \in \mathbb{C}$:*
1:There exists a sequence a_n of $0, \pm 1$ with $a_0 \neq 0$ such that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = 0.$$

2:$z \in \overline{R(\{0, \pm 1\})}$.
3:The point $w = 0$ is in the attractor of the IFS:

$$f_{+1}(w) = zw + 1, f_{-1}(w) = zw - 1, f_0(w) = zw$$

such that there is at least one non trivial path i.e the path of iterations with only f_0.

Actually, to prove that:

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}|\frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^2 \leq 2\} \subset \overline{R(\{0, \pm 1\})},$$

we rather need the IFS defined with only with $f_{\pm 1}$ and now we can start proving the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. *The following statement holds:*

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}|\frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^2 \leq 2\} \subset \overline{R(\{0, \pm 1\})}.$$

Proof. This is a spectial case of theorem 5.1 □

We also have the following result:

Theorem 4.5. $\{z \in \mathbb{C}|\frac{1}{2} \leq |z|^4 \leq 2\} \subset \overline{R(\{\pm 1\})}$

A proof of this theorem can be found in [Bou93]

5. Generalization to other finite sets $H \subset \mathbb{C}$

In this short section we discuss some easy cases for finite set $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ for which we can easily get a generalized result.

We considered $H = \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $\{\pm 1\}$, but there are other choices of H as well, for example what if $H = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4\}$ or $H = \{0, \pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\}$ where $\omega^3 = 1$ is root of unity of order 3. For this case we have the following result:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that there exist a finite set $V \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $H = V - V$ in the sense that:*

$$H = \{v_1 - v_2 | v_1, v_2 \in V\}.$$

Then

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}|\frac{1}{|V|} \leq |z|^2 \leq |V|\} \subset \overline{R(H)}$$

Remark 5.2. *We can observe that:*

$$\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4\} = \{\pm 1, \pm 2\} - \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}.$$

and also that:

$$\{0, \pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\} = \{i, e^{-i\frac{1}{6}\pi}, e^{i\frac{2}{3}\pi}\} - \{i, e^{-i\frac{1}{6}\pi}, e^{i\frac{2}{3}\pi}\}.$$

Another interesting example is:

$$\{0, \pm 2, \pm\sqrt{2}e^{i\frac{1}{4}\pi}, \pm\sqrt{2}e^{i\frac{3}{4}\pi}\} = \{\pm 1, \pm i\} - \{\pm 1, \pm i\}.$$

Remark 5.3. *For polynomials with coefficients in a finite set H, the modulus of any root z is bounded:*

$$\frac{1}{1+\frac{a_M}{a_m}} < |z| < 1+\frac{a_M}{a_m},$$

where a_M, a_m are the max respectively min of the non vanishing modulus of elements in H. So whenever $\frac{a_M}{a_m}$ is small, then we can not achieve to write $H = V - V$ with a large set V. In fact, for $H \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, if $H = V - V$ then we have:

$$|V|^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq 1+\frac{a_M}{a_m},$$

actually we can achieve the same bound by pigeonhole principle and this bound is optimal in the sense that we can not improve the power $\frac{1}{d}$ to any larger number. So this reflect the fact that the annulus:

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{|V|} \leq |z|^2 \leq |V|\},$$

is the largest possible annulus contained by $\bigcap_H \overline{R(\{H\})}$ for H ranges over all finite sets of form $H = V - V$ with arbitrary finite set V with fixed number of elements.

Proof of the theorem. Recall the definition of IFS f_v with a given complex number β with modulus in $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|V|}}, 1)$:

$$f_v(z) = \beta z + v.$$

We know that such numbers are dense in $\mathbb{C}$. Now for any $r > 0$ we can consider the ball $B(0, r)$ and all the images of this ball under the IFS. We define the N-th level iterations of the IFS as:

$$f_{a_0} \circ f_{a_1} \circ ... \circ f_{a_N},$$

with all the possible $a_n \in V$. There are $|V|$ balls of radius $|\beta|r$ under the 1-st level iterations, and there are $|V|^2$ balls of radius $|\beta|^2 r$ under the 2-nd level iterations, and in general there are $|V|^{N+1}$ balls of radius $|\beta|^N r$ under the N-th level iterations. For convenience we call the image under the N-th level iterations N-level balls. So if $|\beta|^2 \geq \frac{1}{|V|}$, then for any $r > 0$ the total measure of all N-level balls is:

$$|V|^{N+1}\pi(|\beta|^N r)^2,$$

and this number goes to ∞ when N goes to ∞, so the N-level balls can not be disjoint from each other for large N. Now suppose the number N is the smallest integer such that the N-level balls have non trivial intersection. Then there must exist two non disjoint N-level balls with different first step iteration i.e:

$$f_{a_0} \circ f_{a_1} \circ ... \circ f_{a_N}(B(0, r)) \cap f_{b_0} \circ f_{b_1} \circ ... \circ f_{b_N}(B(0, r)) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $a_n, b_n \in V$ and $a_0 \neq b_0$. Because if not so, as f_v are bijections, we can just discard the f_{a_0}, f_{b_0} in the above relations and the intersection is still non empty. This contradicts the fact that N is the smallest such number.

So for any $r > 0$ we can find such smallest integer N_r, now as we can choose $r > 0$ arbitrarily, we see that such as N_r must goes to ∞, because if not so, we see that there is an upper bound M of N_r, but we have only finitely many iterations with level smaller than M, so there must exist two sequences a_n, b_n of ± 1 and $n = 0, 1, ..., K < M$ such that:

$$f_{a_0} \circ f_{a_1} \circ ... \circ f_{a_N}(0) = f_{b_0} \circ f_{b_1} \circ ... \circ f_{b_N}(0),$$

then we see that β is a root of polynomial with coefficients in $V - V$.

So for any $r > 0$, we can find an integer N_r such that there exist two sequences a_n, b_n with $a_0 \neq b_0$ and satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} |(a_0 - b_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{N_r}(a_n - b_n)\beta^n| &= |f_{a_0} \circ f_{a_1} \circ ... \circ f_{a_{N_r}}(0) - f_{b_0} \circ f_{b_1} \circ ... \circ f_{b_{N_r}}(0)| \\ &\leq 2|\beta|^{N_r+1} r \end{aligned}$$

observe that $a_n - b_n$ are inside the set $V - V$, as $r \to 0$ we can ensure that $N_r \to \infty$, so we can extract (as in the proof of lemma 3.1) an infinite sequence $a'_n \in V - V$ such that:

$$a'_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a'_n \beta^n = 0.$$

The above holds for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with modulus in $[\frac{1}{\sqrt{|V|}}, 1]$. Now for β with modulus in $[1, \sqrt{|V|}]$, we have $\frac{1}{\beta}$ with modulus in $[\frac{1}{\sqrt{|V|}}, 1]$, so $\frac{1}{\beta} \in \overline{R(V-V)}$, but we know that $R(K)$ for any $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is symmetric under the map $z :\to \frac{1}{z}$ and so $\beta \in \overline{R(V-V)}$ and the theorem follows. □

6. Some new results on root set of the (rationally) unimodular polynomials and Littlewood polynomials associated with roots of unity of finite order

In this section we will deal with a special case when the coefficient set $H \subset \mathbb{C}$ consists all root of unity of a certain order. In general such H can not be written as a difference set namely we can not achieve the following relation:

$$H = V - V, V \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

For this reason we can not simply adapt the idea of proofs introduced for the case $H = \{\pm 1\}, \{0, \pm 1\}$. However the idea of finding intersecting curves in proof of theorem 4.5 gives some motivation and a large part of this section is to find some topological properties that allow us to construct intersecting curves that are contained in some special sets.

We can make some considerations before we start proving results for root sets of polynomials with coefficients chosen from certain finite order roots of unity. Intuitively speaking let n be an integer, then if n is large the roots of unity of order n are points on the unit circle with small gaps, in this sense we see that we 'almost' have all points on the unit circle, so if we let the coefficients of polynomials to be any point on the unit circle or at least a very large subset of the unit circle then the root set should be also very large. This is indeed the case and we have the following simple result:

Let $H = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \exists n > 0, n \text{ is integer}, z^n = 1\}$ then:

$$\overline{R(H)} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z| \leq 2\}.$$

This annulus is the largest possible because it is easy to see that for complex number z with modulus larger than 2 or smaller than $\frac{1}{2}$ there is no unimodular polynomial P that satisfies $P(z) = 0$. Therefore it is enough to prove that:

$$\overline{R(H)} \supset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z| \leq 2\}.$$

In fact we will prove the following result:

Theorem 6.1. *For any rational number $\frac{p}{q}$ we have:*

$$\overline{R(H)} \cap e^{i\frac{p}{q}\pi}\mathbb{R} \supset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \text{Arg}(z) = \frac{p}{q}\pi, \frac{1}{2} \leq |z| \leq 2\},$$

Remark 6.2. *It follows then*

$$\overline{R(H)} \supset \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z| \leq 2\}.$$

Proof. From theorem 4.1 we see that:

$$\{z \in \mathbb{R} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z| \leq 2\} = \overline{R(\{\pm 1\})}.$$

Now If β is a real root of polynomial $\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n z^n$ with $a_n \in \{\pm 1\}$, then we see that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \beta^n = 0,$$

and so

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n e^{-in\frac{p}{q}\pi} e^{in\frac{p}{q}\pi} \beta^n = 0,$$

as $a_n e^{-in\frac{p}{q}\pi} \in H$ the theorem concludes. □

We see that if we allow really large choices of coefficients of polynomials then the corresponding root set is also very large. We come back to the case when we have all roots of unit of finite degree n, then if n is larger and larger we get more and more choices for coefficients so we expect the root sets to be larger and larger eventually fill up the annulus:

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} | \frac{1}{2} \leq |z| \leq 2\}.$$

By the proof of theorem 5.1 we see that if H is the set of roots of unity of order n, then for a complex number β with modulus in $[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \sqrt{n}]$ we see that the N level balls can not always be disjoint for large integer N, however, this can not ensure that the attractor of the following IFS is connected:

$$f_k(z) = \beta z + w_k,$$

with $k = 0, 1, ..n-1$ and $w_k = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{n}k}$, what we can confirm is that at least two 'branches' of the attractor are connected namely if we denote the attractor as A_β then there exists $j \neq k$ such that:

$$f_j(A_\beta) \cap f_k(A_\beta) \neq \emptyset,$$

however if $j-k$ is a primitive root ($\mod n$) then by symmetry we can see that all the 'branches' are connected. For this reason we restrict our attention on prime values p as the order of root of unity.

From now on we let $p \geq 5$ be an odd prime and let $H = \{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}k}\}, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., p-1$ be the set of all roots of unity of order p. Then we have the following IFS:

$$f_k(z) = \beta z + e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}k}, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., p-1,$$

for $|\beta| < 1$ we denote the attractor of the above IFS by A_β. Now for $|\beta| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$ the set A_β is compact (if not we replace it by its closure) and path connected by the level ball argument as in the proof of theorem 4.4. It is also clear that A_β is centred at point $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. We now prepare some topological facts which are the ingredients of finding the dense part of the root set $R(H)$.

6.1. **Some general topological considerations.**

Definition 6.3. *We say that a set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ is around $h \in \mathbb{C}$ if the ray $h + e^{i\theta}\mathbb{R}^+ \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$.*

Definition 6.4. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact and path connected set around h. Then we define the outer pre-contour Pre-Out$_h(A)$ to be the set that achieves the maximal distance to h on all directions, namely:*

$$\textit{Pre-Out}_h(A) = \{z \in A | \forall w \in A, \arg(w-h) = \arg(z-h) \implies |w-h| \leq |z-h|\}.$$

Similarly we define the inner pre-contour Pre-Inn$_h(A)$ to be the set that achieves the minimal distance to h on all directions, namely:

$$\textit{Pre-Inn}_h(A) = \{z \in A | \forall w \in A, \arg(w-h) = \arg(z-h) \implies |w-h| \geq |z-h|\}.$$

Notice that if $h \in A$ the the inner pre-contour Pre-Inn$_h(A) = \{h\}$.

For convenience we denote Pre-Inn(A) = Pre-Inn$_0(A)$, Pre-Out(A) = Pre-Out$_0(A)$.

Remark 6.5. *The outer and inner pre-contours may not be continuous curves, but as A is path connected we can easily extend the pre-contours to continuous curves without disturbing the bounds. For the attractor A_β, the outer and inner contour can be constructed as limit set of the outer and inner contour of the corresponding level sets.*

Then we can further make the following discussion about sets around point 0 and the results can be easily adopted to sets around any non zero point.

Definition 6.6. *For a compact path connected set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ we call a curve C an outer contour of A if C is a continuous curve that contains the pre-outer contour:*

$$\textit{Pre-Out}(A) \subset C \subset A.$$

similarly we call a curve C an inner contour of A if C is a continuous curve that contains the pre-inner contour:

$$\textit{Pre-Inn}(A) \subset C \subset A.$$

With a little abusing of the notation we denote Out(A), Inn(A) to be the collections of all outer contours resp. inner contours of A.

Lemma 6.7. *For a continuous curve $C : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$, if*

$$C(0) \geq \sup_{z \in Pre\text{-}Inn(A)} |z|,$$

$$C(1) \leq \inf_{z \in Pre\text{-}Inn(A)} |z|.$$

Or if

$$C(0) \geq \sup_{z \in Pre\text{-}Out(A)} |z|,$$

$$C(1) \leq \inf_{z \in Pre\text{-}Out(A)} |z|.$$

Then $C \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. The inner contour can be $\{0\}$ in which case $C(1) = 0$ and the lemma follows trivially. Also we may assume that the inequalities are strict:

$$C(0) > \sup_{z \in \text{Pre-Inn}(A)} |z|,$$

$$C(1) < \inf_{z \in \text{Pre-Inn}(A)} |z|.$$

Then let $K \in \text{Inn}(A)$ be a closed curve, and we can see that $C(0)$ is outside the curve K and $C(1)$ is inside the curve K, to be more precise, $C(0)$ is not contained in the path connected component of K^c that contains 0 and $C(1)$ is contained in the path connected component of K^c that contains 0, therefore by continuity $C \cap \text{Inn}(A) \neq \emptyset$ therefore $C \cap A \neq \emptyset$. The other statement is similar to prove therefore we omit it. □

6.2. **Some topological results for the attractor A_{β^2}.** Now let us come back to the attractor A_β, we know that if $|\beta| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$ then A_β is connected. Therefore we require $|\beta|^2 > \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$ then we have the attractor A_{β^2}, we may think A_{β^2} is the intersection of all level balls constructed by iterating the IFS with the ball $B(0, \frac{1}{1-|\beta|^2})$:

$$f_k(z) = \beta^2 z + e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}k}, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., p-1.$$

We want to show that for some choices of β the following relation holds:

$$-\beta A_{\beta^2} \cap A_{\beta^2} \neq \emptyset,$$

for then we can find two sequences $a_n, b_n \in H$ and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \beta^{2n} = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \beta^{2n+1},$$

then we see that $\beta \in \overline{R(H)}$.

The strategy now is to find two points $z_1, z_2 \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that one of them is 'inside' $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2} + 1$ and the other one is 'outside'. To formulate this idea clearly we define:

Definition 6.8. *For a compact path connected set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ around h, we say that $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ is sufficiently inside A if:*

$$|z_1 - h| \leq \inf_{z \in Pre\text{-}Out_h(A)} |z|,$$

and we say that z_1 is sufficiently outside A if:

$$|z_1 - h| \geq \sup_{z \in Pre\text{-}Out_h(A)} |z|,$$

Remark 6.9. *If z_1, z_2 are sufficiently inside resp. outside A, then any continuous curve between z_1 and z_2 intersects A.*

Now we begin to find a $z_1 \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that z_1 is sufficiently outside $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2} + 1$ around $1 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 6.10. *For a prime number $p \geq 5$ and then the attractor of the above mentioned IFS is A_{β^2} is connected if $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} < |\beta| < 1$. If β satisfy:*

$$|\beta| \cos \frac{\pi}{p} > 2|\beta|^2 - 1,$$

there is a $z_1 \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that z_1 is sufficiently outside $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2} + 1$.

Proof. We only need to show the second statement.

First we can find a sequence $a_n \in H = \{e^{2\pi i \frac{j}{p}}\}_{j=0,1,2,\ldots,p-1}$ such that:

$$\Re(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \beta^{2n+1}) \leq -\frac{|\beta|}{1-|\beta|^2} \cos \frac{\pi}{p}.$$

As $p > 5$ we have the following inequality:

$$|\min_{j=0,1,\ldots,p-1} \arg(\beta^2 e^{2\pi i \frac{j}{p}}) - \pi| \leq \frac{\pi}{p},$$

where we use the convention that $\arg(z) \in [0, 2\pi)$. To see this, since $p \geq 5$, the negative real axis is contained in one of the p sectors:

$$S_j = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \arg(z) \in [2\arg(\beta) + 2\pi\frac{j}{p}, 2\arg(\beta) + 2\pi\frac{j+1}{p})]\}_{j=0,2,\ldots,p-1},$$

then at least one of the boundaries say of the sector form a acute angle $\leq \frac{\pi}{p}$ with the negative real axis. So we can choose a a_0 such that the real part of a_0 is at most:

$$-|\beta| \cos \frac{\pi}{p},$$

we can do this for choosing $a_1, a_2, \ldots$ and in the end we get a sequence a_n such that:

$$(*) \qquad \Re(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \beta^{2n}) \leq -\frac{|\beta|}{1-|\beta|^2} \cos \frac{\pi}{p}.$$

However for $z \in \beta^2 A_{\beta^2} + 1$ we have the following inequality:

$$\inf_{z \in \beta^2 A_{\beta^2}+1} \Re(z) \geq 1 - \frac{|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2}.$$

Combine with the inequality (*) above we see that the conclusion follows. □

Now we are going to find a $z_2 \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that z_2 is sufficiently inside $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2} + 1$, this is a harder problem and we will illustrate the idea by first showing a weak result and strengthen it later on with more and more complicated argument.

Lemma 6.11 (Weak Result)**.** *Let $\theta = \frac{\pi}{p}$ and let $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\arg(w_1) = \arg(w_2) = \theta$ and*

$$\Re(w_1) = |\beta|(1 + \frac{|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2} \cos \frac{\pi}{p}),$$

$$\Re(w_2) = |\beta|(1 + \frac{|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2}).$$

If $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} < |\beta|^2 < 1, |w_i - 1| \leq \frac{|\beta|^2 - 2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta^2|}$, then there is a $z_2 \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that z_2 is sufficiently inside $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2} + 1$.

Remark 6.12. *Notice that the condition $|w_i - 1| \leq \frac{|\beta|^2 - 2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta^2|}$ implies that $|\beta|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and we see that the solution interval for the inequality denoted by $I_p = [l_p, u_p]$ satisfy:*

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} l_p = q_1 \approx 0.5652,$$

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} u_p = q_2 \approx 0.6478,$$

the exact values q_1, q_2 are the real solution of polynomial $2x^4 - 2x^2 - x + 1 = 0$ resp. $2x^4 + x - 1 = 0$.

Proof. Just as in the proof of lemma 6.10, we can find a $w \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that:

$$|\beta|(1+\frac{|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2}\cos\frac{\pi}{p}) \leq \Re(w) \leq \frac{|\beta|}{1-|\beta|^2}.$$

Further, by the construction in the proof of lemma 6.10 we can always achieve that:

$$|\arg(w)| \leq \frac{\pi}{p}.$$

Then we see that w is inside a quadrilateral Q whose four vertices are:

$$w_1, w_2, \Re(w_1), \Re(w_2).$$

If the quadrilateral is fully contained in the ball $B(1, \frac{|\beta|^2-2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta^2|})$ centred at 1 with radius $\frac{|\beta|^2-2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta^2|}$ then in particular w is in this ball. It is then easy to check that the condition of this lemma ensure that Q is indeed contained in the previous mentioned ball.

Now we have to show that if $w \in B(1, \frac{|\beta|^2-2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta^2|})$ then w is sufficiently inside $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2}+1$. Indeed we can construct $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2}+1$ by using level sets:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^2 A_{\beta^2}+1 &= \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{a_0=1,a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n\in\{0,1,2,\ldots,p-1\}} f_{a_0}\circ f_{a_1}\circ\ldots\circ f_{a_n}(B(0,\frac{1}{1-|\beta|^2})) \\ &= \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n.\end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_n = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{a_0=1,a_1,\ldots,a_n\in\{0,1,2,\ldots,p-1\}} f_{a_0}\circ f_{a_1}\circ\ldots\circ f_{a_n}(B(0,\frac{1}{1-|\beta|^2})).$$

Then B_0 is a ball centred at 1 with radius $\frac{|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2}$ and B_1 is a union of balls with radius $\frac{|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta|^2}$. In fact $B_1 \subset B_0$ and the balls consisting B_1 are all tangent to B_0 and they form a circle (chain of balls), since $|\beta|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we see that there is a hole in the centre of B_1 in the sense that $B(1, \frac{|\beta|^2-2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta^2|})$ is tangent to all the balls consisting B_1. Clear this hole is sufficiently inside $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2}+1$. So if $w \in B(1, \frac{|\beta|^2-2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta^2|})$ then w is sufficiently inside $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2}+1$. □

The key point of the above proof is to ensure that there is a point $z_2 \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that z_2 is in the hole in the centre of $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2}+1$, however the above proof only considered the hole formed by 2-nd level balls. Since A_β^2 is self-similar, if we can show that there is a point $z_2 \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that z_2 is in any hole formed by k-level balls with any integer $k > 0$ then we can ensure that z_2 is sufficiently inside $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2}+1$. See figure 1 for a illustration.



FIGURE 1. Indication of holes with first 3 levels made with p=17 and $|\beta| < \frac{1}{2}$.

We now formulate the above idea precisely. Recall that we are now working with the following IFS with $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} < |\beta|^2 < \frac{1}{2}$:

$$f_k(z) = \beta^2 z + e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}k}, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., p-1.$$

Then for any sequence of length $l > 0$ say $\Sigma = s_1 s_2 ... s_l$ where $s_i \in \{0, 1, 2..., p-1\}$ we denote following iteration of functions:

$$f_\Sigma = f_{s_l} \circ f_{s_{l-1}} \circ ... \circ f_{s_1}.$$

Now we denote the 0-th level ball $B = B(0, \frac{1}{1-|\beta|^2})$ and the 0-th level hole $K = B(0, \frac{1-2|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2})$. Then for any sequence $\Sigma = s_1 s_2 ... s_l$ where $s_i \in \{0, 1, 2..., p-1\}$ we can address the corresponding l-th level ball and hole as:

$$B_\Sigma = f_\Sigma(B),$$

$$K_\Sigma = f_\Sigma(K).$$

In proof of lemma 6.11 we found a $z_2 \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that $z_2 \in K_0$. Now we observe that for fixed β, when p is large enough we have $K_j \cap K_{j+1} \neq \emptyset$ for $j = 0, 1, ..., p-2$. This is because K_j, K_{j+1} are balls centred at $e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}j}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}(j+1)}$ with radius $\frac{|\beta|^2-2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta|^2}$ so they intersect whenever we have the following condition:

$$(*) \qquad |e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}j} - e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}(j+1)}| < \frac{|\beta|^2 - 2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta|^2}.$$

If the above condition holds we see that $K_{j_1 j_2} \cap K_{j_1(j_2+1)} \neq \emptyset$ for $j_1 \in \{0, 1..., p-1\}$ and $j_2 \in \{0, 1, 2...p-2\}$. Then we denote the union of all 2-nd level holes:

$$K_{II} = \bigcup_{j_1, j_2 \in \{0,1,...,p-1\}} K_{j_1 j_2}.$$

Then with a bit abusing of symbol we can define the following auxiliary functions:

$$K^l_{II}(\beta, p) = \sup_{z \in \text{Pre-Inn}(K_{II})} |z|,$$

$$K^u_{II}(\beta, p) = \inf_{z \in \text{Pre-Out}(K_{II})} |z|,$$

A strightforward computation shows that for $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} < |\beta|^2 < \frac{1}{2}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{p\to\infty} K^l_{II}(\beta,p) &= 1-|\beta|^2\frac{1-2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta|^2}\\ \lim_{p\to\infty} K^u_{II}(\beta,p) &= 1+|\beta|^2\frac{1-2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta|^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now if there is a $z_1 \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that

$$(**) \qquad K^l_{II}(\beta,p) \le |z_1| \le K^u_{II}(\beta,p),$$

then there is at least one $k \in \{0,1,2...p-1\}$ such that z_1 is sufficiently inside $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2} + e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}k}$. To see this, observe that:

$$\begin{aligned}K^l_{II}(\beta,p) &\ge \sup_{z\in \text{Pre-Inn}(A_{\beta^2})}|z|\\ K^u_{II}(\beta,p) &\le \inf_{z\in \text{Pre-Out}(A_{\beta^2})}|z|.\end{aligned}$$

Then we can use the argument in proof of lemma 6.10 and the fact that A_{β^2} is symmetric under rotation of angle $\frac{2\pi}{p}$ to find a point $z_2 \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that z_2 is sufficiently outside $\beta^2 A_{\beta^2} + e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}k}$. Then there is a curve $C \subset -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that $z_1, z_2 \in C$. It follows that:

$$C \cap \beta^2 A_{\beta^2} + e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}k} \neq \emptyset.$$

And therefore:

$$-\beta A_{\beta^2} \cap \beta^2 A_{\beta^2} + e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}k} \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies:

$$\beta \in \overline{R(H)}.$$

Now again by lemma 6.10 we can find a $z_1 \in -\beta A_{\beta^2}$ such that:

$$|\beta|(1+\frac{|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2}\cos\frac{\pi}{p}) \le |z_1| \le |\beta|(1+\frac{|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2})\frac{1}{\cos\frac{\pi}{p}},$$

so if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned}K^l_{II}(\beta,p) &\le |\beta|(1+\frac{|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2}\cos\frac{\pi}{p})\\ K^u_{II}(\beta,p) &\ge |\beta|(1+\frac{|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2})\frac{1}{\cos\frac{\pi}{p}},\end{aligned}$$

then (**) can be satisfied and we see that $\beta \in \overline{R(H)}$. We conclude the above argument as a lemma:

Lemma 6.13 (Strong Result)**.** *With above notations, if:*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} &< |\beta|^2 < \frac{1}{2},\\ K^l_{II}(\beta,p) &\le |\beta|(1+\frac{|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2}\cos\frac{\pi}{p}),\\ K^u_{II}(\beta,p) &\ge |\beta|(1+\frac{|\beta|^2}{1-|\beta|^2})\frac{1}{\cos\frac{\pi}{p}},\\ |e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}j} - e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}(j+1)}| &< \frac{|\beta|^2-2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta|^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\beta \in \overline{R(H)}$.

Remark 6.14. *The third condition ensures that the* 2*-nd level holes form a connected set:*

$$K_{II} = \bigcup_{j_1,j_2\in\{0,1,\dots,p-1\}} K_{j_1 j_2}.$$

Proof. The proof follows from the arguments above. □

Using the fact mentioned before:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{p\to\infty} K_{II}^{l}(\beta,p) &= 1-|\beta|^2\frac{1-2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta|^2}\\ \lim_{p\to\infty} K_{II}^{u}(\beta,p) &= 1+|\beta|^2\frac{1-2|\beta|^4}{1-|\beta|^2}.\end{aligned}$$

We see that for large p, the conditions in lemma 6.13 are satisfied for $|\beta|\in(l_p,u_p)$ where:

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} l_p \approx 0.5119,$$

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} u_p = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}.$$

We can now strengthen the result even more and the proof is not very different than the argument above therefore we only give a sketch:

Lemma 6.15 (Stronger Result)**.** *$\beta\in\overline{R(H)}$ for $|\beta|\in(l_p,u_p)$ where:*

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} l_p = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} u_p = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}.$$

Sketch of proof. We considered 2-nd level holes in lemma 6.13 and obtained a better result than lemma 6.11 where we only considered the 1-st level holes. We can in fact consider l-level holes for $l\geq 3$, the argument will be not very different than the proof of lemma 6.13 and the result is that $\beta\in\overline{R(H)}$ for $|\beta|\in(l_p,u_p)$ where:

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} l_p = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} u_p = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}.$$

□

Now let us consider the case when $|\beta|^2\geq\frac{1}{2}$. In this case we can see that there is no hole as illustrated in figure 2.



(A) $|\beta|^2<\frac{1}{2}$ (B) $|\beta|^2>\frac{1}{2}$

FIGURE 2. Two situations $|\beta|^2<\frac{1}{2}, |\beta|^2>\frac{1}{2}$, p=7

But this will give us no difficulty, indeed, if there is an integer $m>0$ such that:

$$\frac{1}{4}<|\beta|^{2m}<\frac{1}{2}, \tag{***}$$

then the argument above ensures that for all large enough prime number $p>0$:

$$\beta^m\in\overline{R(H)},$$

namely, there is a sequence $a_n \in H$ such that:

$$A_0 = \sum_n a_n \beta^{mn} = 0,$$

then for any $h \in \{1, 2, ..., m-1\}$ we have the following:

$$A_h = \sum_n a_n \beta^{mn+h} = 0.$$

Then summing up $A_0, A_1, ..., A_{m-1}$ we that there exist $b_n \in H$ such that:

$$\sum_{h=0}^{m-1} A_h = \sum_n b_n \beta^n = 0.$$

So $\beta \in \overline{R(H)}$.

Now we see that the condition (***) is equivalent to:

$$\frac{\log \frac{1}{2}}{2 \log |\beta|} < m < \frac{\log \frac{1}{2}}{\log |\beta|},$$

then if:

$$\frac{\log \frac{1}{2}}{2 \log |\beta|} > 1 \iff 1 > |\beta| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

we see that there is at least one integer inside the interval:

$$(\frac{\log \frac{1}{2}}{2 \log |\beta|}, \frac{\log \frac{1}{2}}{\log |\beta|}),$$

and therefore $\beta \in \overline{R(H)}$. We conclude the above results as the following lemma:

Lemma 6.16. *If $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies:*

$$1 > |\beta| > \frac{1}{2},$$

then for all large enough prime number $p > 0$ and $H = \{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{p}k}, k = 0, 1, ..., p-1\}$ we have the following:

$$\beta \in \overline{R(H)}.$$

6.3. **The proof of theorem 2.2 and corollary 2.3.** We can now finish the proof of theorem 2.2 and the corollary 2.3.

Proof of theorem 2.2 and the corollary 2.3. The Theorem 2.2,2.3 follows directly from lemma 6.16 and lemma 3.1. □

7. Acknowledgements

The author is supported by a PhD scholarship provided by the School of Mathematics in the University of St Andrews. The author is grateful to Dr. Jonathan Fraser and Dr. Tom Kempeton for the fruitful discussions.

References

[Ban02] Christoph Bandt, *On the mandelbrot set for pairs of linear maps*, Nonlinearity **15** (2002), 1127–1147.

[BBBP98] Frank Beaucoup, Peter Borwein, David W. Boyd, and Christopher Pinner, *Multiple roots of $[-1, 1]$ power series*, J. London Math. Soc. **57(1)** (1998), 135–147.

[BEL08] Peter Borwein, Tamás Erdélyi, and Friedrich Littmann, *Polynomials with coefficients from a finite set*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), 5145–5154.

[Bou93] Thierry Bousch, *Connexite locale et par chemins holderiens pour les syst'emes iteres de fonctions*, Online(http://topo.math.u-psud.fr/bousch) (1993).

[J.E66] J.E.Littlewood, *On polynomials $\sum^n \pm z^m, \sum^n \pm e^{\alpha_m i} z^m, z = e^{\theta i}$*, J. London Math. Soc. **41** (1966), 367–376.

[OP93] A. M. Odlyzko and B. Poonen, *Zeros of polynomials with 0,1 coefficients*, Enseign. Math. **39** (1993), 317–348.

[PS98] Yuval Peres and Boris Solomyak, *Self-similar measures and intersections of cantor sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 4065–4087.

[PS00] ———, *Approximation by polynomials with coefficients ± 1*, Journal of Number Theory **84** (2000), 185–198.

[SH15a] Nikita Sidorov and K. G. Hare, *Multidimensional self-affine sets: non-empty interior and the set of uniqueness*, Studia Math. **229** (2015), 223–232.

[SH15b] ———, *Multidimensional self-affine sets: non-empty interior and the set of uniqueness*, (to appear)Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems (2015).

[SH16] ———, *Two-dimensional self-affine sets with interior points, and the set of uniqueness*, Nonlinearity **29** (2016), 1–26.

[Sol95] Boris Solomyak, *On the random series* $\sum \pm\lambda^n$ *(an erdos problem)*, Annals of Mathematics **142** (1995), 611–625.

[SS06] Pablo Shmerkin and Boris Solomyak, *Zeros of* $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ *power series and connectedness loci for self-affine sets*, Experimental Mathematics **15** (2006), 499–511.

[SS11] Nikita Sidorov and Boris Solomyak, *On the topology of sums in powers of an algebraic number*, Acta Arithmetica **149** (2011), 337–346.

[SS16] Pablo Shmerkin and Boris Solomyak, *Absolute continuity of complex bernoulli convolutions*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. To appear (2016).

Han Yu, School of Mathematics & Statistics, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, KY16 9SS, UK,

E-mail address: hy25@st-andrews.ac.uk